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## Online Appendix

## A Details on Data Collection

In this appendix, I cover minute details of the data collection. First, a list of universities that underwent moratoriums but are not included in the sample are explained. Second, the small portion of missing data in the Daily Crime Logs is discussed.

## A Sample Selection Details

Recall from Section 3.A that the main sample includes 37 universities which experienced moratoriums between 2014 and 2019. However, these do not represent the universe of fraternity moratoriums that occur in this time period. In particular, there are six schools that are known to have experienced a moratorium in this time frame, but are excluded due to data issues or their definition of a moratorium. First, Miami University is excluded since the end-date of their moratorium could not be verified. Second, Pennsylvania State University is excluded because they did not digitally release their Daily Crime Logs. Third, the University of Texas at Arlington is excluded because the crime logs are scanned images that cannot be read reliably by any computer software. Fourth, Cal State Northridge is excluded because it is unclear whether the moratorium includes a ban on alcohol. Fifth, the University of North Florida is excluded because of a discrepancy between public records information and newspaper articles-newspaper articles claim there is a moratorium beginning 12/4/17, but the public records department claims this is untrue. Last, the University of Vermont is excluded due to issues with the reliably of the data-crimes often are reported to have occurred in large intervals of days (or months) for nearly $40 \%$ of the data provided which is not suitable for the daily-level analysis in this paper. There may exist other universities that experienced a moratorium without news coverage-these are also excluded from the sample.

## B Daily Crime Log Details

As outlined in Section 3.A, the Daily Crime Logs are mandated under the Clery Act to include a set of characteristics for each crime and to be maintained for seven years. Despite these mandates,
there are exceptions to each of these. First, while the date occurred is mandated to be included in the Daily Crime Logs, only 32 of the 37 universities' crime logs contain the date occurred. However, these five schools contain the date reported, and therefore, I use the date reported in lieu of the date occurred when the date occurred is missing. Second, the seven-year record mandate is not interpreted uniformly across universities. In particular, if Daily Crime Logs from 2014 are requested in year 2021, the police departments of Rollins College and North Carolina State University consider seven-years to be inclusive of their current year, and hence, only retain records from 2015-2021 or have only partially completed records in 2014 respectively.

## B Robustness Under TWFE

In this appendix, I estimate a model that contains no negative weights to acknowledge the potential issues with the difference-in-differences estimator as discussed in Section 4.C. These weights are calculated using the TwoWayFEWeights package (Chaisemartin, D'Haultfoeuille, and Deeb 2020). The estimated model is the following two-way fixed effects (TWFE) specification:

$$
Y_{u t}=\beta \text { Moratorium }_{u t}+\gamma_{u}+\alpha_{t}+\epsilon_{u t}
$$

where $Y_{u t}$ is the outcome for university $u$ at time $t$ measured by per-25000 enrolled students per academic-calendar day, Moratorium $_{u t}$ is an indicator equal to one if university $u$ is in a moratorium at time $t, \gamma_{u}$ are university fixed effects, $\alpha_{t}$ are day by month by year fixed effects, and $\epsilon_{u t}$ is the error term. Hence, this model compares academic-calendar days within a moratorium to the same calendar days without a moratorium while controlling for systematic differences between universities. As mentioned above, there are no negative weights in this specification and therefore sign reversal is impossible. With this advantage, I re-estimate the results in Columns 2, 3, and 5 in Table 4.

Table B1 shows that the results of the TWFE specification with no negative weights are mostly consistent with the results in Table 4. In Panel A, alcohol offenses exhibit a $19 \%$ decrease from the mean during a moratorium, with a $25 \%$ decrease on the weekends. Although sexual assaults do not exhibit statistically significant decreases on the weekends, this is potentially due to the loss of identifying variation from the data-intensive controls. However, it is important to note that the coefficient sign remains the same on all of the estimates. Hence, under the identifying assumptions of the model, moratoriums decrease alcohol offenses.

Table B1: Effect of Moratoriums on Alcohol Offenses and Sexual Assault by Weekend/Weekdays (No Negative Weights-OLS)

|  | Days of the Week |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Days <br> $(1)$ | Weekends <br> $(2)$ | Weekdays <br> $(3)$ |  |
| Panel A: Alcohol Offenses | $-0.091^{*}$ | $-0.211^{* *}$ | -0.004 |  |
| In Moratorium | $(0.045)$ | $(0.097)$ | $(0.017)$ |  |
|  | 55115 | 23643 | 31472 |  |
| Observations | 0.464 | 0.828 | 0.190 |  |
| Mean of Dependent Variable |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Panel B: Sexual Assaults | -0.006 | -0.008 | -0.004 |  |
| In Moratorium | $(0.005)$ | $(0.007)$ | $(0.007)$ |  |
|  | 55115 | 23643 | 31472 |  |
| Observations | 0.049 | 0.058 | 0.042 |  |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | X | X | X |  |
| FE: University | X | X | X |  |
| FE: Day by Month by Year |  |  |  |  |

Note:
Standard errors are clustered by university and each offense is defined as per-25000 enrolled students. Column 1 represents the preferred specification from the main results table, Column 2. Weekends consist of Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays. Weekdays consist of Monday through Thursday. The specification used in this table has no negative weights and thus, sign reversal is ruled out.

* $\mathrm{p}<0.1,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$


## C Spillover Analysis Using CSS Data

In this appendix, I use the Campus Safety and Security (CSS) data to indirectly analyze whether alcohol offenses and sexual assaults are being displaced to riskier areas during a moratorium. I compare the yearly aggregation of the Daily Crime Logs to the CSS data using a model that is less suited for a causal analysis due to the yearly aggregation of the CSS data. Therefore, the estimates in this appendix should be taken as speculative only.

## A CSS Data and Empirical Strategy

The CSS data is maintained by the US Department of Education. This data is mandated by the federal government to be updated each calendar year with the yearly totals of liquor law disciplinary actions and arrests, and sexual assault violations that are reported to any entity at a university. Hence, this data will not match one-to-one with the Daily Crime Logs as the Daily Crime Logs contain only incidents reported to or by the university police. For instance, if a residence hall administrator issues a liquor violation to an underage student, but handles the issue internally without involving the police, then this would be included in the CSS data as a liquor law disciplinary action, but not the Daily Crime Logs. However, the advantage of the CSS data is that it contains counts of offenses that occur on-campus, not-on-campus, and on public property. ${ }^{1}$ Most importantly, I am able to delineate whether incidents occur in student residence halls.

Since the CSS data is aggregated by calendar-year, the CSS data is not a preferred data source for causal analysis. In spite of this shortcoming, I estimate the following difference-in-differences specification:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{u, t}=\beta \text { Moratorium }_{u, t}+\gamma_{u}+\lambda_{t}+\epsilon_{u, t} \tag{C0}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $Y_{u, t}$ is the offense of interest defined as per-25000 enrolled students per-calendar-year, Moratorium $_{u, t}$ is the number of calendar-days with a moratorium within a year, $\gamma_{u}$ are university fixed effects, $\lambda_{t}$ are calendar-year fixed effects, and $\epsilon_{u, t}$ is the error term. Standard errors are clustered at the university level to account for serial correlation within universities.

## B Results

Table C1 shows the comparison of estimating Equation C0 with the Daily Crime Logs aggregated to the calendar-year level with the CSS data. ${ }^{2}$ The Daily Crime Logs show relatively consistent results with those found in Table 4; yearly averages of alcohol offenses per-25,000 enrolled students decrease by approximately 0.134 per additional calendar day with a moratorium and sexual assaults decrease by approximately 0.013 .

Although the results using aggregated Daily Crime Logs are consistent with the findings in Table 4, the CSS data shows that residence halls experience a 0.270 increase in yearly liquor law disciplinary violations per-25,000 enrolled students and a 0.033 decrease in reports of sexual assault for each additional calendar-year-day with a moratorium (Column 3). Each of these estimates are significant at the $5 \%$ level. However, there is little evidence of an effect on liquor law arrests as shown in Columns 4 and 5-consistent with the literature that campus police do not typically arrest students for alcohol violations (Bernat et al. 2014). As discussed in Section 5.B, this supports the notion that if moratoriums displace alcohol-fueled behavior, they displace it to less risky areas whereby behavior can more easily be intervened before it becomes dangerous.

[^1]Table C1: Effect of Moratoriums on Alcohol Offenses and Sexual Assaults: Comparison of Daily Crime Logs and Campus Safety and Security (OLS)

|  | Daily Crime Logs <br> All Reports | Campus Safety and Security |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Displinary Actions/Reported Crime |  | Arrests |  |
|  |  | All Reports | Residence Halls | All Reports | Residence Halls |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Panel A: Alcohol Offenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | -0.134* | 0.297** | 0.270** | -0.022 | -0.025 |
|  | (0.077) | (0.118) | (0.125) | (0.056) | (0.040) |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 131.861 | 362.978 | 343.616 | 55.961 | 24.280 |
| Observations | 220 | 222 | 222 | 222 | 222 |
| FE: Year | X | X | X | X | X |
| FE: University | X | X | X | X | X |
| Panel B: Sexual Assaults |  |  |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | -0.013 | -0.046 | -0.033** |  |  |
|  | (0.011) | (0.039) | (0.014) |  |  |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 14.099 | 28.732 | 14.444 |  |  |
| Observations | 220 | 222 | 222 |  |  |
| FE: Year | X | X | X |  |  |
| FE: University | X | X | X |  |  |

## Note:

Standard errors are clustered by university and each offense is defined as offense per-25000 enrolled students per-calendar year. Recall that Daily Crime Logs are the primary source of data used in prior analysis. In this model, the In Moratorium treatment variable is defined as the number of calendar-days that experienced a moratorium in a calendar-year. All Reports columns include the entire Daily Crime Logs/Campus Safety and Security Data (CSS), while Residence Halls is a subset of the CSS. All Reports in the CSS data contains both off-campus and on-campus reports. CSS data does not necessarily need to be reported to the university police and hence, may not show up in the Daily Crime Logs. Columns 2 and 3 refer to disciplinary actions for liquor law violations and reported crime for sexual assaults. Columns 4 and 5 refer to arrests for liquor law violations. Fixed effects include university and year fixed effects.

* $\mathrm{p}<0.1, * * \mathrm{p}<0.05, * * * \mathrm{p}<0.01$


## D Is the Share of Students in a Fraternity Important for Effectiveness?

In this appendix, I analyze whether universities with a higher fraction of undergraduates belonging to IFC fraternities exhibit larger effects during a moratorium. Each university in the sample has a different share of its student population belonging to IFC fraternities. Recall from Table 2 that the fraction of undergraduate students with IFC membership can range from $1 \%$ to as high as $11 \%$. Presumably, a moratorium has a greater effect on student behavior when the restrictions apply to a greater share of students.

To conduct this analysis, I supplement the preferred specification with an interaction of InMoratorium $_{u, t}$ and FractionIFC ${ }_{u}$, where FractionIFC $_{u}$ is the earliest recorded count of IFC fraternity members over 2014-2019 at university $u$, divided by the undergraduate enrollment, and centered at its mean. I use the earliest count of IFC members for two reasons; first, to avoid the potential issue of declines in IFC membership after a moratorium due to permanent suspensions of specific IFC chapters, and second, many universities do not maintain records of IFC numbers for every year in the sample period. However, in the universities that do supply complete records, I do not find substantial semester-to-semester changes in IFC populations. ${ }^{3}$ Therefore, an early one-year measure of the IFC population is a good approximation for the other corresponding years. In effect, the interaction of InMoratorium $_{u, t}$ and FractionIFC $_{u}$ creates a measure of moratorium intensity—universities with a higher fraction of IFC members receive a more intense treatment than universities with lower shares.

Table D1 provides suggestive evidence that moratoriums with a higher fraction of student enrollment belonging to an IFC fraternity result in larger decreases in alcohol offenses and sexual assaults during a moratorium period. In Panel A, the point estimates for the interaction term show patterns consistent with the main findings in the paper-the effects are negative with the strongest effects are observed on the weekends when partying is more frequent. Similarly, in Column 1 of

[^2]Panel B, the interaction term coefficient shows suggestive evidence that moratoriums in universities with a higher share of IFC members exhibit larger decreases of sexual assaults. However, none of the interaction coefficients presented in either panel are significant, indicating only a suggestive relationship between the share of IFC members and the impact of moratoriums.

The results of Table D1 may appear surprisingly inconclusive given the expectation that universities with a higher share of fraternity members exhibit larger effects. One possible reason for these inconclusive results is that the share of fraternity members is a noisy indicator for a fraternity-related activity-schools with a small share of fraternity life may have chapters that are particularly active, or vice-versa. To demonstrate this, I plot each university's undergraduate IFC fraction against its Niche.com Colleges with the Best Greek Life ranking. The ranking, based on survey responses from Niche.com users, ranges from 1-300, and 32 out of the 37 universities in the sample are ranked in the top 300 . For the remaining five schools, I assign a ranking between 301-305. Figure D1 shows the inverse relationship between these two measures: as the Greek Life ranking increases, the fraction of undergraduates in an IFC fraternity generally decreases. This likely contributes to the negative point estimates in the previous analysis. However, this relationship is noisy, and the slope is not statistically different from zero at the $5 \%$ level. This may explain why the previous analysis only provided suggestive rather than clear evidence.

Table D1: The Effect of Moratoriums Interacted with the Centered IFC Share (OLS)

|  | All Days | Weekends | Weekdays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Panel A: Alcohol Offenses |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | -0.124** | -0.239** | -0.038 |
|  | (0.051) | (0.107) | (0.026) |
| In Moratorium x Fraction IFC | -0.231 | -0.729 | -0.209 |
|  | (1.402) | (2.629) | (0.733) |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.464 | 0.828 | 0.190 |
| Observations | 55115 | 23643 | 31472 |
| Panel B: Sexual Assaults |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | -0.010 | -0.017 | -0.004 |
|  | (0.007) | (0.010) | (0.006) |
| In Moratorium x Fraction IFC | -0.068 | 0.164 | -0.242 |
|  | (0.235) | (0.304) | (0.234) |
| Mean of Dependent Variable Observations | 0.049 | 0.058 | 0.042 |
|  | 55115 | 23643 | 31472 |
| FE: Day of Week | X | X | X |
| FE: Holiday | X | X | X |
| FE: Game Day | X | X | X |
| FE: Semester (Spring/Fall) | X | X | X |
| FE: University by Academic Year | X | X | X |

Note:
Fraction IFC is the average share of undergraduates that are in an IFC fraternity, centered at the mean. Note that not every university keeps record of their IFC numbers over time, and therefore, the most recent number of IFC members is used in this calculation when sampleperiod data is missing. However, based on the few universities that provided year-to-year data on their IFC populations, the total number does not substantially change over time. Standard errors shown in parenthesis are clustered by university ( 37 clusters) and each offense is defined as per-25000 enrolled students. The interaction of In Moratorium and Fraction IFC gives a measure of moratorium intensity based on the fraction of IFC members. The regression specification is the preferred specification which includes day of week, holiday, football game-day, semester, and university-by-acacdemic-year fixed effects.

$$
* \mathrm{p}<0.1, * * \mathrm{p}<0.05, * * * \mathrm{p}<0.01
$$



Figure D1: Scatterplot of Best Greek Life Ranking and IFC Fraction
Note: The x -axis represents the ranking from Niche.com's Colleges with the Best Greek Life list. There are 300 rankings within this list. Of the four universities that are not ranked, a ranking between 301 and 305 is assigned. The y-axis represents the share of undergraduate students that are a member of an IFC fraternity. The dashed red line denotes the average share of undergraduate students that are in an IFC fraternity, while the blue line represents the regression estimation of the share of undergraduate students on the Colleges with the Best Greek Life ranking. Note that, at the five percent level, the slope of the regression line is not statistically different from zero.

## E Appendix Figures and Tables

This page is intentionally blank.

| Indiana University, Bloomington Police Department Student Right To Know CAD Daily Log From Jan 20, 2014 to Jan 20, 2014. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```Date Reported: 01/20/14 - MON at 12:22 Location: EIGENMANN HALL Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA Disposition: FAILED TO LOCATE``` | Event \#: 14-01-20-001434 Report \#: |
| Date Reported: $01 / 20 / 14-$ MON at 17:03 Location : ALL OTHER ROADWAYS/INTERS <br> Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To $01 / 20 / 14$ - MON at 17:02-01/20/14 - MON at 17:03 <br> Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA  <br> Disposition: CLOSED BY ARREST  | Event \#: 14-01-20-001446 <br> Report \#: 140154 |
| ```Date Reported: 01/20/14 - MON at 19:30 Location: EIGENMANN HALL Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA Disposition: FAILED TO LOCATE``` | Event \#: 14-01-20-001464 <br> Report \#: |
| Date Reported: 01/20/14 - MON at 20:22 Location: EIGENMANN HALL Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA Disposition: FAILED TO LOCATE | Event \#: 14-01-20-001466 Report \#: |
|  | Event \#: 14-01-20-001468 <br> Report \#: |
| ```Date Reported: 01/20/14 - MON at 21:38 Location: ALL OTHER NON-UNIVERSITY Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To Incident: ALL OTHER OFFENSES - HARASSMENT/INTIMIDATION Disposition: NO CASE REPORT``` | Event \#: 14-01-20-001476 <br> Report \#: |
| ```Date Reported: 01/20/14 - MON at 21:53 Location: ROSE AVE RESIDENCE HALL Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA Disposition: FAILED TO LOCATE``` | Event \#: 14-01-20-001479 <br> Report \#: |
| ```Date Reported: 01/20/14 - MON at 22:30 Location: COLLINS COMMON AREA Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA Disposition: FAILED TO LOCATE``` | Event \#: 14-01-20-001486 <br> Report \#: |
| Date Reported: $01 / 20 / 14$ - MON at 23:02 Location : FOREST QUAD <br> Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To $01 / 20 / 14$ - MON at 22:45-01/20/14 - MON at 23:02 <br> Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA  <br> Disposition: CLOSED NO ARREST.  | Event \#: 14-01-20-001487 <br> Report \#: 140157 |
| ```Date Reported: 01/20/14 - MON at 23:07 Location: FOSTER JENKINSON HALL Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To Incident: NARCOTIC/DRUG LAWS - POSSESSION - MARIJUANA Disposition: FAILED TO LOCATE``` | Event \#: 14-01-20-001491 <br> Report \#: |
| Date Reported: $01 / 20 / 14$ - MON at 23:35 Location : ALL OTHER OPEN AREAS <br> Date and Time Occurred From - Occurred To $01 / 20 / 14$ - MON at 23:35-01/20/14 - MON at 23:41 <br> Incident : ASSAULT - OTHER ASSAULTS - SIMPLE, NOT AGGRAVATED  <br> Disposition: CLOSED BY ARREST.  | Event \#: 14-01-20-001494 <br> Report \#: 140159 |
| 11 Incidents Listed. | 12:23:52PM at Page No. 1 |

Figure E1: An Example of a Daily Crime Log
Note: The main analysis uses data from 37 universities' Daily Crime Logs-each unique in their own respect. All Daily Crime Logs are collected from each university and harmonized using the pattern matching technique outlined in Section 3.B.


Figure E2: Top 15 Most Frequent Offense Matches
Note: The top 15 most frequent offense matches represent the 15 most frequent incidents after the pattern matching process. The x-axis represents the fraction of the total number of offenses in each category.

Table E1: Description of the Triggering Events that lead to a Moratorium

| University | Description of Triggering Event | Triggering <br> Event Date | Moratorium <br> Start Date | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas State | Arrest of a man suspected of raping a 19-year old woman at a party in a fraternity | 2017-02-10 | 2017-02-21 | Sexual Assault |
| University-Main Campus | house. |  |  |  |
| Ball State University | Concerns regarding the behavior and actions of members of IFC fraternities. |  | 2017-10-24 | Behavior |
| Cal Poly San Luis Obispo | A report of a sexual assault that allegedly took place at a social event hosted by a |  | 2015-01-13 | Sexual Assault |
|  | Greek group. |  |  |  |
| Cal Poly San Luis Obispo | Racially insensistive photos surfacing on social media featuring fraternity members in both blackface and gang-related images. | 2018-04-08 | 2018-04-17 | Behavior |
| Clemson University | Alleged sexual assault. | 2018-01-27 | 2018-01-29 | Sexual Assault |
| College of Charleston | Decision was made after consulting with student leaders within the community. |  | 2016-08-30 | Unspecified |
| East Carolina University | An alleged sexual assault on Jan. 25 that provoked an ongoing investigation with the Greenville Police Department. | 2015-01-25 | 2015-01-28 | Sexual Assault |
| Emory University | Report of a sexual assault in a fraternity house. | 2014-11-02 | 2014-11-03 | Sexual Assault |
| Florida Atlantic University | Tailgating issues involving alcohol. |  | 2017-11-28 | Behavior |
| Florida International | Growing concerns about the state of fraternity and sorority life at FIU as well as |  | 2018-01-01 | Unspecified |
| University | around the nation. |  |  |  |
| Florida State University | Death of Andrew Coffey. | 2017-11-03 | 2017-11-06 | Death |
| Indiana | A university spokesperson said the decision came in light of the ongoing national |  | 2017-11-27 | Unspecified |
| University-Bloomington | conversation about Greek life and its place on college campuses, as well as challenges on IU's Bloomington campus. The decision is not attributable to one particular incident. |  |  |  |
| Louisiana State University | Death of Maxwell Gruver. | 2017-09-14 | 2017-09-14 | Death |
| Louisiana State University | Unclear. |  | 2017-10-19 | Unspecified |
| Marshall University | High-risk behavior in the fraternity community. |  | 2018-03-05 | Behavior |
| Monmouth University | Troubles within the fraternity system. |  | 2018-09-06 | Behavior |
| Murray State University | The letter implementing the suspension indicates that "national trends, and our own review...". |  | 2018-08-27 | Unspecified |
| North Carolina State | Surfaced newstory of a pledge book that featured racially insensitive remarks and | 2018-03-20 | 2018-03-20 | Sexual Assault |
| University at Raleigh | rape jokes. |  |  |  |
| Ohio State University-Main | Proactive step based on the significantly high number of investigations this |  | 2017-11-16 | Behavior |
| Campus | semester, not on the nature of any specific case or cases. |  |  |  |
| Ohio University-Main | Allegations within the past week of hazing at seven of the fraternities. |  | 2019-10-03 | Behavior |
| Campus |  |  |  |  |
| Rollins College | The temporary suspension was issued after reviewing a 'series of student conduct concerns.' |  | 2017-02-21 | Behavior |
| Rutgers University-New | Several incidents with alcohol . |  | 2015-04-06 | Behavior |
| Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| San Diego State University | Sexual assault allegations. |  | 2014-11-25 | Sexual Assault |
| San Diego State University | Ongoing concerns related to alcohol. |  | 2018-03-09 | Behavior |


| San Diego State University | Death of Dylan Hernandez. | 2019-11-07 | 2019-11-09 | Death |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Syracuse University | A string of racist and anti-Semitic incidents. |  | 2019-11-17 | Behavior |
| Texas State University | Death of Matthew Ellis. | 2017-11-13 | 2017-11-14 | Death |
| Tufts University | Accusations of hazing and discrimination. |  | 2016-11-16 | Behavior |
| University at Buffalo | Death of Sebastian Serafin-Bazaan. |  | 2019-04-12 | Death |
| University of | Reports of sexual assault at off-campus fraternity functions. |  | 2016-10-16 | Sexual Assault |
| California-Berkeley |  |  |  |  |
| University of Central Florida | Decision was made in light of drinking-related controversies. |  | 2018-01-08 | Behavior |
| University of Idaho | A response to the growing national crisis surrounding personal violence like hazing and sexual assault. |  | 2017-12-12 | Unspecified |
| University of Iowa | Death of Kamil Jackowski. | 2017-04-30 | 2017-05-01 | Death |
| University of Kansas | Poor behavior among some Greek groups at the University of Kansas. |  | 2018-03-12 | Behavior |
| University of Michigan-Ann | Claims of sexual misconduct cases involving fraternity brothers, six incidents of |  | 2017-11-09 | Sexual Assault |
| Arbor | reported hazing, more than 30 hospital transports for students during the weekend of the football game against Michigan State. |  |  |  |
| University of | Hazing allegations. |  | 2018-03-06 | Behavior |
| Missouri-Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| University of New | With three UNM fraternities already in "emergency suspension" following |  | 2017-12-08 | Behavior |
| Mexico-Main Campus | allegations of hazing or alcohol policy violations, administrators have ordered a |  |  |  |
|  | two-month halt to most social events within the university's larger Greek system. |  |  |  |
| University of Pittsburgh | A serious alcohol incident involving members and non-members of one of the fraternities. | 2018-01-18 | 2018-01-19 | Behavior |
| University of Virginia-Main | Rolling Stone article describing the fraternity culture at the school. | 2014-11-19 | 2014-11-22 | Sexual Assault |
| Campus |  |  |  |  |
| Washington State University | Due to the current negative reputation of the community. |  | 2016-11-07 | Unspecified |
| Washington State University | Death of Samuel Martinez. | 2019-11-12 | 2019-11-14 | Death |
| West Virginia University | Death of Nolan Burch | 2014-11-12 | 2014-11-13 | Death |
| West Virginia University | The result of a Theta Chi brother published a Snapchat video on social media using a racial slur directed at a bartender in a downtown Morgantown club. |  | 2018-02-14 | Behavior |

Note:
Description of the triggering event is summarized based on newsarticles or conversations with Fraternity and Sorority Life staff. The date of the triggering event is shown if provided. The classification of each event is based off of the description and aligns with Figure 2.

Table E2: Moratorium Dates of Each University in the Sample

| University | Start 1 | End 1 | Start 2 | End 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arkansas State University-Main Campus | $2017-02-21$ | $2017-04-01$ |  |  |
| Ball State University | $2017-10-24$ | $2018-01-31$ |  |  |
| California Polytechnic State University-San Luis Obispo | $2015-01-13$ | $2015-04-06$ | $2018-04-17$ | $2018-06-06$ |
| Clemson University | $2014-09-23$ | $2014-10-10$ | $2018-01-27$ | $2018-03-01$ |
| College of Charleston | $2016-08-30$ | $2016-12-01$ |  |  |
| East Carolina University | $2015-01-28$ | $2015-02-11$ |  |  |
| Emory University | $2014-11-03$ | $2014-12-02$ |  |  |
| Florida Atlantic University | $2017-11-28$ | $2018-03-01$ |  |  |
| Florida International University | $2018-01-01$ | $2018-02-05$ |  |  |
| Florida State University | $2017-11-06$ | $2018-03-26$ |  |  |
| Indiana University-Bloomington | $2017-11-27$ | $2018-02-28$ |  |  |
| Louisiana State University and Agricultural \& Mechanical College | $2017-09-14$ | $2017-10-12$ | $2017-10-19$ | $2018-03-01$ |
| Marshall University | $2018-03-05$ | $2018-03-26$ |  |  |
| Monmouth University | $2018-09-06$ | $2019-01-16$ |  |  |
| Murray State University | $2018-05-09$ | $2018-08-27$ |  |  |
| North Carolina State University at Raleigh | $2015-03-20$ | $2015-05-09$ |  |  |
| Ohio State University-Main Campus | $2017-11-16$ | $2018-02-07$ |  |  |
| Ohio University-Main Campus | $2019-10-03$ | $2019-10-25$ |  |  |
| Rollins College | $2017-02-21$ | $2017-04-14$ |  |  |
| Rutgers University-New Brunswick | $2015-04-06$ | $2015-05-01$ |  |  |
| San Diego State University | $2014-11-25$ | $2015-01-09$ | $2018-03-09$ | $2018-10-04$ |
| Syracuse University | $2019-11-17$ | $2019-12-09$ |  |  |
| Texas State University | $2017-11-14$ | $2018-02-26$ |  |  |
| Tufts University | $2016-11-16$ | $2017-01-19$ |  |  |
| University at Buffalo | $2019-04-12$ | $2019-08-21$ |  |  |
| University of California-Berkeley | $2016-10-16$ | $2016-10-26$ |  |  |
| University of Central Florida | $2018-01-08$ | $2018-03-05$ |  |  |
| University of Idaho | $2017-12-12$ | $2018-03-13$ |  |  |
| University of Iowa | $2017-05-01$ | $2019-08-27$ |  |  |
| University of Kansas | $2018-03-12$ | $2018-03-18$ |  |  |
| University of Michigan-Ann Arbor | $2017-11-09$ | $2018-01-03$ |  |  |
| University of Missouri-Columbia | $2018-03-06$ | $2018-03-13$ |  |  |
| University of New Mexico-Main Campus | $2017-12-08$ | $2018-02-19$ |  |  |
| University of Pittsburgh-Pittsburgh Campus | $2018-01-19$ | $2018-08-30$ |  |  |
| University of Virginia-Main Campus | $2014-11-22$ | $2015-01-07$ |  |  |
| Washington State University | $2016-11-07$ | $2017-01-09$ | $2019-11-14$ | $2020-01-27$ |
| West Virginia University | $2014-11-13$ | $2015-02-01$ | $2018-02-14$ | $2018-08-01$ |
| Note |  |  |  |  |

## Note:

Universities can have multiple moratoriums in the sample period. Each moratorium date was verified by either a Fraternity and Sorority Life advisor, a news article, or a public records request. However, the first San Diego State University moratorium end date could not be directly verified by either a fraternity or sorority advisor, news article, or public record request. Based on the following news article link, I am confident that the moratorium ended before the start of the 2015 semester. Link: https://newscenter.sdsu.edu/sdsu_newscenter/news_story.aspx?sid=75357


Figure E3: Greek-life Rankings of Universities Included in the Sample
Note: Greek-life rankings are based on Niche.com's 2023 list of Colleges with the Best Greek Life. Rankings are based on survey responses from Niche.com users on the quality of Greek Life at their school. The dashed red line represents the median ranking of the 37 universities in the sample. Three-hundred universities are ranked in the list. Of the universities in the sample, 14 of the 37 universities $(38 \%)$ are ranked in the top 50 , while only 5 of $37(13 \%)$ are not ranked.


Figure E4: Event Study for Alcohol Offenses
Note: The shaded area point estimate represents an entire moratorium period for each university. Hence, the shaded area point estimate has varying amounts of days within based on the university. For instance, Arkansas State University had a 39 day moratorium and therefore their shaded area point estimate would be identified by the 39 moratorium days. Point estimates not within the shaded region are 46 day periods. Number of days within a period was chosen to give approximately two median-length ( 46 days) moratorium on each side of the shaded area. All periods are normalized by the 46 -day period before the moratorium. Alcohol offenses are defined as alcohol offenses per- 25000 enrolled students. Controls include holiday, spring semester, day of the week, football game-days, and university by academic year. Standard errors clustered by university. All errorbars represent 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure E5: Event Study for Sexual Assault Offenses
Note: The shaded area point estimate represents an entire moratorium period for each university. Hence, the shaded area point estimate has varying amounts of days within based on the university. For instance, Arkansas State University had a 39 day moratorium and therefore their shaded area point estimate would be identified by the 39 moratorium days. Point estimates not within the shaded region are 46 day periods. Number of days within a period was chosen to give approximately two median-length (46 days) moratorium on each side of the shaded area. All periods are normalized by the 46 -day period before the moratorium. Sexual assault offenses are defined as sexual assault offenses per- 25000 enrolled students. Controls include holiday, spring semester, day of the week, football game-days, and university by academic year. Standard errors clustered by university. All errorbars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.


Figure E6: Leave-one-out OLS Regressions of Alcohol Offenses
Note: Each blue point represents the preferred specification (2) from Table 4. Each black point represents specification (2) from Table 4 with one university omitted from the sample. Offenses are per- 25000 enrolled students. Errorbars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Weekends includes only Friday, Saturday, Sunday, while weekdays includes Monday through Thursday.


Figure E7: Leave-one-out OLS Regressions of Sexual Assaults
Note: Each blue point represents the preferred specification (2) from Table 4. Each black point represents specification (2) from Table 4 with one university omitted from the sample. Offenses are per- 25000 enrolled students. Errorbars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Weekends includes only Friday, Saturday, Sunday, while weekdays includes Monday through Thursday.


Figure E8: Robustness Across Samples (Alcohol Offenses)
Note: This graph depicts the coefficient estimates and $95 \%$ confidence intervals for different subsets of the sample. The y-axis on the left is the sample selection used, while the $y$-axis on the right is the point estimate. All estimates use the preferred specification from Table 4 Column 2, and all outcomes are in terms of per- 25000 enrolled students. Standard errors are clustered at the university level. Panel A uses the main sample as shown in the main results, while Panel B uses the main sample in addition to 14 never-treated schools (see Section 5.A for more details). Panel C analyzes 15 universities which undergo a fraternity death, but do not undergo a moratorium. A 64-day binary treatment period is given to each of these universities, beginning on the date of the death. Panel D extends the analysis in Panel C by adding in the 15 never-treated universities as controls, analogous to Panel B in reference to Panel A. See Section 6.B for more details.


Figure E9: Robustness Across Samples (Sexual Assaults)
Note: This graph depicts the coefficient estimates and 95\% confidence intervals for different subsets of the sample. The $y$-axis on the left is the sample selection used, while the $y$-axis on the right is the point estimate. All estimates use the preferred specification from Table 4 Column 2, and all outcomes are in terms of per- 25000 enrolled students. Standard errors are clustered at the university level. Panel A uses the main sample as shown in the main results, while Panel B uses the main sample in addition to 14 never-treated schools (see Section 5.A for more details). Panel C analyzes 15 universities which undergo a fraternity death, but do not undergo a moratorium. A 64-day binary treatment period is given to each of these universities, beginning on the date of the death. Panel D extends the analysis in Panel C by adding in the 15 never-treated universities as controls, analogous to Panel B in reference to Panel A. See Section 6.B for more details.

Effect of Game Day Weekends $+\ln$ Moratorium


Figure E10: The Effect of Football Game-day Weekends With/Without Moratoriums Note: Game weekends include all football games occurring in the sample period. 34 of the 37 universities have football teams and corresponding game days. The y-axis represents coefficient estimates. Errorbars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Each panel is split into two effects: the first effect being the effect of only football game-day weekends on the outcome per-25000 enrolled students, and the second being the effect of a football game-day weekend that occurs within a moratorium. A game-day weekend is defined as a weekend in which a football game occurs. For example, if a game occurs on a Friday, then Saturday and Sunday will be included in the game weekend. Note that weekends are defined as Friday/Saturday/Sunday. "All Game Weekends" includes both home and away games. The effects of game-day weekends + moratorium is identified by 245 football game days that coincide with moratoriums. Controls include holiday, spring semester, day of the week, and university by academic year. Standard errors are clustered by university.

Table E3: Comparison of All Relevant Data Sources

|  | Data Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily Crime Logs | CSS | NIBRS | UCR |
| Source and Requirement: |  |  |  |  |
| Source of Data: | University police departments | US Department of Education | FBI | FBI |
| Reporting Mandate: | By-law | By-law | Voluntary | Voluntary |
| Aggregation and Consistency: |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Aggregation: | Incident-level | Yearly | Incident-level | Monthly |
| Fraction Reporting Consistently: | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.78 |
| Offenses Reported and Location: |  |  |  |  |
| Alcohol Violations: | All incidences reported to or by the university police. | All incidences reported to or by any university entity. | Arrests only | None |
| Sexual Assaults: | All incidences reported | All incidences reported | All incidences reported | Hierarchy rule |
| Residence Hall Information: | No | Yes | No | No |
| Analysis in Paper: | Main analysis | Substitution of partying | Spillovers of partying | Not used |

Note:
Appreviations of the data sources are as follows: Campus Safety Security (CSS), National Incidence-based Reporting System (NIBRS), Uniform Crime Report (UCR). The Daily Crime Logs are used for the main analysis due to the advantages it has over the other sources. The fraction reporting consistently refers row corresponds to the fraction of the sample university police departments. For the NIBRS however, the fraction reported consistently refers to the number of university-specific and corresponding nearby police departments that report consistently. The hierarchy rule is a classification rule by the UCR where only the most serious crime in an incident is reported. While over 50 percent of UCR data is recorded to be reported consistently, the true percentage is difficult to know since NAs and 0 s are treated as equivalent in the data.

Table E4: Effect of Moratoriums on Alcohol Offenses and Sexual Assaults (Poisson)

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | Specification (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weekends <br> (4) | Weekdays (5) |
| Panel A: Alcohol Offenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | $\begin{gathered} -0.216^{* *} \\ (0.093) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.305 * * * \\ (0.087) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.328 * * * \\ (0.104) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.328 * * * \\ (0.092) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.247 \\ & (0.161) \end{aligned}$ |
| Observations | 55115 | 54151 | 52541 | 22578 | 29823 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.524 | 0.524 | 0.524 | 0.939 | 0.211 |
| Panel B: Sexual Assaults |  |  |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | -0.164** | -0.199* | -0.187 | -0.388** | -0.016 |
|  | (0.076) | (0.110) | (0.117) | (0.147) | (0.141) |
| Observations | 55115 | 52905 | 50077 | 21775 | 28003 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.051 | 0.051 | 0.051 | 0.062 | 0.043 |
| FE: Day of Week | X | X | X | X | X |
| FE: Holiday | X | X | X | X | X |
| FE: Game Day | X | X | X | X | X |
| FE: Semester (Spring/Fall) | X | X | X | X | X |
| FE: University | X |  |  |  |  |
| FE: Academic Year | X |  |  |  |  |
| FE: University by Academic Year |  | X |  | X | X |
| FE: University by Academic Year by Semester |  |  | X |  |  |

Note:
Standard errors are clustered by university and each offense is defined as a count. Observation values may vary between specifications due to no variation with particular fixed effects. Specification (2) is the preferred specification due to the flexibility of the fixed effects and the conservativeness of the estimates in the main results. A weekend is defined as Friday-Sunday while a weekday is defined as Monday-Thursday.

* $\mathrm{p}<0.1,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

Table E5: Effect of Moratoriums on Alcohol Offenses and Sexual Assaults (WLS)

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | Specification (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Weekends <br> (4) | Weekdays (5) |
| Panel A: Alcohol Offenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | $\begin{gathered} -0.128 * * * \\ (0.046) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.129^{* *} \\ (0.050) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.131 * * \\ (0.049) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.243 * * \\ (0.103) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.042 \\ & (0.030) \end{aligned}$ |
| Observations | 55115 | 55115 | 55115 | 23643 | 31472 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.464 | 0.464 | 0.464 | 0.828 | 0.190 |
| Wild Bootstrap P-Value | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.006 | 0.170 |
| Panel B: Sexual Assaults |  |  |  |  |  |
| In Moratorium | -0.007* | -0.008* | -0.008 | -0.019** | 0.000 |
|  | (0.004) | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.008) | (0.005) |
| Observations | 55115 | 55115 | 55115 | 23643 | 31472 |
| Mean of Dependent Variable | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.058 | 0.042 |
| Wild Bootstrap P-Value | 0.062 | 0.095 | 0.121 | 0.030 | 0.989 |
| FE: Holiday | X | X | X | X | X |
| FE: Game Day | X | X | X | X | X |
| FE: Semester (Spring/Fall) | X | X |  | X | X |
| FE: University | X |  |  |  |  |
| FE: Academic Year | X |  |  |  |  |
| FE: University by Academic Year |  | X |  | X | X |
| FE: University by Academic Year by Semester |  |  | X |  |  |

## Note:

Estimates are obtained using WLS. All regressions are weighted by total enrollment. Standard errors shown in parenthesis are clustered by university ( 37 clusters) and each offense is defined as per- 25000 enrolled students. P-values from 1000 wild cluster bootstrap iterations are shown for the In Moratorium coefficient as suggested by Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller (2008) in cases with a small number of clusters (typically lower than 30). This analysis is near, but not below this threshold. Game Day controls consist of university football games within each university. Weekends include Friday-Sunday while Weekdays include Monday-Thursday. Column 2 is the preferred specification due to the flexibility of the fixed effects and the conservativeness of the estimates. Significance stars correspond to clustered standard errors.

* $\mathrm{p}<0.1$, ** $\mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not-on-campus is defined by the Department of Education as "(1) Any building or property owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the institution; or (2) Any building or property owned or controlled by an institution that is used in direct support of, or in relation to, the institution's educational purposes, is frequently used by students, and is not within the same reasonably contiguous geographic area of the institution." Furthermore, public property is defined as "All public property, including thoroughfares, streets, sidewalks, and parking facilities, that is within the campus, or immediately adjacent to and accessible from the campus."

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This aggregation includes all calendar-year days rather than only academic-calendar days that were used in the main analysis.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ West Virginia University is an exception to this. Their official IFC count decreased by over 60 percent in years following the moratorium.

